

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Page 1 of * 36

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
Form 19b-4

File No.* SR - 2020 - * 13

Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)

Filing by MEMX LLC

Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Initial * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amendment * <input type="checkbox"/>	Withdrawal <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(3)(A) * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(3)(B) * <input type="checkbox"/>
--	---	--	--	--	---

Rule

Pilot <input type="checkbox"/>	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action * <input type="checkbox"/>	Date Expires * <input type="text"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4)
			<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5)
			<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6)

Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010

Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant
to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934Section 806(e)(1) *
Section 806(e)(2) *
Section 3C(b)(2) *

Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document



Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document

**Description**

Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *).

Proposal to adopt new Rule 11.21 to enable Members of the Exchange to designate certain orders they submit to the Exchange of behalf of retail customers to be identified as retail orders to the Exchange.

Contact Information

Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.

First Name * Anders Last Name * Franzon

Title * General Counsel

E-mail * afranzon@memx.com

Telephone * (551) 370-1003 Fax

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

(Title *)

Date 10/26/2020

By Lauren Strathman

(Name *)

Compliance Officer

Lauren Strathman,

NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock
this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical
signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFF website.

Form 19b-4 Information *

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.

Partial Amendment

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) MEMX LLC (“MEMX” or the “Exchange”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) a proposed rule change to adopt new Rule 11.21 to enable members of the Exchange (“Members”) to designate certain orders they submit to the Exchange on behalf of retail customers to be identified as retail orders to the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as non-controversial pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act³ and provided the Commission with the notice required by Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder.⁴

A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and the text of the proposed rule change is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

The proposed rule change was approved by Exchange staff pursuant to authority delegated to it by the Board of Directors of the Exchange (the “Board”). Exchange staff will advise the Board of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action is necessary for the filing of the proposed rule change.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

Questions and comments on the proposed rule change may be directed to Anders Franzon, General Counsel, (551) 370-1003.

3. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to adopt new Rule 11.21 to enable Members to designate certain orders they submit to the Exchange on behalf of retail customers to be identified as retail orders to the Exchange. Under the proposed rule change, the Exchange would create a new class of market participant for any Member that satisfies the requirements under proposed Rule 11.21 called a Retail Member Organization (“RMO”), which would be eligible to submit certain retail order flow (“Retail Orders”) to the Exchange. Specifically, proposed Rule 11.21 would: (i) define a Retail Order and RMO; (ii) set forth an RMO’s qualification and application requirements and the Exchange’s approval process; (iii) outline procedures for when an RMO fails to abide by the Retail Order requirements; and (iv) outline the procedures under which a Member may appeal the Exchange’s decision to disapprove it or disqualify it as an RMO. The Exchange notes that proposed Rule 11.21 is substantially similar to and based on paragraphs (a)-(d) of Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (“Cboe BZX”) Rule 11.25.⁵

Definitions

⁵ See Cboe BZX Rule 11.25; see also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 73237 (September 26, 2014), 79 FR 59537 (October 2, 2014) (SR-BATS-2014-043); 73677 (November 24, 2014), 79 FR 71150 (December 1, 2014) (SR-BATS-2014-058); 76205 (October 21, 2015), 80 FR 65828 (October 27, 2015) (SR-BATS-2015-90).

The Exchange proposes to adopt the following definitions under proposed Rule 11.21(a). First, the term “Retail Member Organization” or “RMO” would be defined as a Member (or a division thereof) that has been approved by the Exchange to submit Retail Orders. Second, the term “Retail Order” would be defined as an agency or riskless principal order that meets the criteria of FINRA Rule 5320.03 that originates from a natural person and is submitted to the Exchange by an RMO, provided that no change is made to the terms of the order with respect to price or side of market and the order does not originate from a trading algorithm or any other computerized methodology.

RMO Qualifications and Approval Process

Under proposed Rule 11.21(b), any Member could qualify as an RMO if it conducts a retail business or routes retail orders on behalf of another broker-dealer. Proposed Rule 11.21(b)(1) makes clear that an RMO that carries retail customer accounts on a fully disclosed basis would be considered to conduct a retail business for purposes of the rule. The qualification standards and approval process under proposed Rule 11.21(b) are designed to ensure that Members are properly qualified as an RMO and only designate as Retail Orders those orders that meet the definition of Retail Orders under proposed Rule 11.21(a)(2) described above. Any Member that wishes to obtain RMO status would be required to submit: (i) an application form; (ii) supporting documentation sufficient to demonstrate the retail nature and characteristics of the applicant’s order flow;⁶ and (iii) an attestation, in a form prescribed by the Exchange, that substantially all

⁶ For example, a prospective RMO could be required to provide sample marketing literature, website screenshots, other publicly disclosed materials describing the retail nature of their order flow, and such other documentation and information as the Exchange may require to obtain reasonable assurance that the applicant’s

orders submitted by the Member as a Retail Order will qualify as such under proposed Rule 11.21(b).

An RMO would be required to have written policies and procedures reasonably designed to assure that it will only designate orders as Retail Orders if all requirements of a Retail Order are met. Such written policies and procedures must require the Member to (i) exercise due diligence before entering a Retail Order to assure that entry as a Retail Order is in compliance with the requirements of proposed Rule 11.21, and (ii) monitor whether orders entered as Retail Orders meet the applicable requirements. If the RMO does not itself conduct a retail business but routes Retail Orders on behalf another broker-dealer, the RMO's supervisory procedures must be reasonably designed to assure that the orders it receives from such other broker-dealer that it designates as Retail Orders meet the definition of a Retail Order. Such an RMO must (i) obtain an annual written representation, in a form acceptable to the Exchange, from each other broker-dealer that sends it orders to be designated as Retail Orders that entry of such orders as Retail Orders will be in compliance with the requirements of proposed Rule 11.21, and (ii) monitor whether Retail Order flow routed on behalf of such other broker-dealers continues to meet the applicable requirements.⁷

If the Exchange disapproves a Member's application to be an RMO, the Exchange would provide a written notice to the Member. The disapproved applicant could appeal the disapproval by the Exchange as provided in proposed Rule 11.21(d) and/or reapply

order flow would meet the requirements of the Retail Order definition.

⁷ The Exchange or another self-regulatory organization on behalf of the Exchange will review an RMO's compliance with these requirements through an exam-based review of the RMO's internal controls.

for RMO status 90 days after the disapproval notice is issued by the Exchange. An RMO also could voluntarily withdraw from such status at any time by giving written notice to the Exchange.

As described above, under proposed Rule 11.21(b), any Member could qualify as an RMO if it conducts a retail business or routes retail orders on behalf of another broker-dealer, and Proposed Rule 11.21(b)(1) makes clear that an RMO that carries retail customer accounts on a fully disclosed basis would be considered to conduct a retail business for purposes of the rule. The Exchange proposes to distinguish an RMO's routing services on behalf of another broker-dealer from services provided by an RMO that carries retail customer accounts on a fully disclosed basis, as described below. As background with respect to this aspect of the proposed change, the Exchange first would like to describe the terms "introducing broker", "carrying firm" or "carrying broker-dealer", and "fully disclosed," as such terms are commonly used in the securities industry. An "introducing" broker-dealer is "one that has a contractual arrangement with another firm, known as the carrying or clearing firm, under which the carrying firm agrees to perform certain services for the introducing firm. Usually, the introducing firm submits its customer accounts and customer orders to the carrying firm, which executes the orders and carries the account. The carrying firm's duties include the proper disposition of the customer funds and securities after the trade date, the custody of customer securities and funds, and the recordkeeping associated with carrying customer accounts."⁸ Further, a "fully disclosed" introducing arrangement is "distinguished from

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 31511 (Nov. 24, 1992), 57 FR 56973 (December 2, 1992).

an omnibus clearing arrangement where the clearing firm maintains one account for all the customer transactions of the introducing firm. In an omnibus relationship, the clearing firm does not know the identity of the customers of the introducing firm. In a fully disclosed clearing arrangement, the clearing firm knows the names, addresses, securities positions and other relevant data as to each customer.”⁹

With respect to a broker-dealer that is routing on behalf of another broker-dealer, the Exchange does not believe that the routing broker-dealer has sufficient information to assess whether orders are truly retail in nature, and thus, requires an RMO routing on behalf of other broker-dealers to maintain additional supervisory procedures and obtain annual attestations, as described above, in order to submit Retail Orders to the Exchange. In contrast, however, if a broker-dealer is carrying a customer account on a fully disclosed basis, then such carrying broker-dealer is required to perform certain diligence regarding such account that the Exchange believes is sufficient to assess whether a customer is a retail customer in order to submit orders on behalf of such a customer to the Exchange as a Retail Order. The carrying broker of an account typically handles orders from its retail customers that are “introduced” by an introducing broker. However, as noted above, in contrast to a typical routing relationship on behalf of another broker-dealer, a carrying broker does obtain a significant level of information regarding each customer introduced by the introducing broker. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to state in Rule 11.21(b)(1) that for purposes of Rule 11.21, “conducting a retail business shall include carrying retail customer accounts on a fully disclosed basis.”

Failure of RMO to Abide by Retail Order Requirements

⁹ Id.

Proposed Rule 11.21(c) addresses an RMO's failure to abide by Retail Order requirements. If an RMO designates orders submitted to the Exchange as Retail Orders and the Exchange determines, in its sole discretion, that those orders fail to meet any of the requirements of Retail Orders, the Exchange may disqualify a Member from its status as an RMO. When disqualification determinations are made, the Exchange would provide a written disqualification notice to the Member. A disqualified RMO could appeal the disqualification provided in proposed Rule 11.21(d) and/or reapply for RMO status 90 days after the disqualification notice issued by the Exchange.

Appeal of Disapproval or Disqualification

Proposed Rule 11.21(d) provides appeal rights to Members. If a Member disputes the Exchange's decision to disapprove it as an RMO under proposed Rule 11.21(b) or disqualify it under proposed Rule 11.21(c), such Member may request, within five business days after notice of the decision is issued by the Exchange, that the Retail Member Organization Panel (the "RMO Panel") review the decision to determine if it was correct. The RMO Panel would consist of the Exchange's Chief Regulatory Officer ("CRO"), or a designee of the CRO, and two officers of the Exchange designated by the Exchange's Chief Executive Officer. The RMO Panel would review the facts and render a decision within the time frame prescribed by the Exchange. The RMO Panel could overturn or modify an action taken by the Exchange and all determinations by the RMO Panel would constitute final action by the Exchange on the matter at issue.

Implementation

The Exchange notes that, under the proposed rule change, an order involving any Regulation NMS security traded on the Exchange that meets the definition of Retail

Order would be eligible to be designated as such by an RMO. The Exchange also notes that orders designated as Retail Orders would only be designated as such to the Exchange and would not be designated as such on the Exchange's market data feeds or otherwise identifiable as Retail Orders by any market participants or the public. Further, the Exchange notes that orders designated as Retail Orders would be handled in the exact same way under the Exchange's rules as if such orders were not designated as Retail Orders. In other words, the designation of an order as a Retail Order would not in any way affect the priority or other handling procedures applicable to such order under the Exchange's rules.

The purpose of enabling RMOs to designate orders as Retail Orders to the Exchange under the proposed rule change is so the Exchange may identify and track orders designated as such, which the Exchange believes will be useful for it in considering potential pricing modifications to such orders as it continues to evaluate its pricing structure following the recent commencement of its operations as a national securities exchange. The Exchange further believes that the proposed rule change would enable the Exchange to have the appropriate mechanisms and processes in place to implement any differentiated pricing for Retail Orders if and when the Exchange proposes to do so in the future. The Exchange notes that, at some point following the adoption and implementation of proposed Rule 11.21 as described in this proposed rule change, the Exchange may separately propose to amend its fee schedule to adopt a specific fee code for Retail Orders to be provided on an RMO's execution reports and/or to provide differentiated pricing for Retail Orders, which the Exchange believes would attract additional retail order flow to the Exchange, thereby providing the benefits of

exchange transparency, regulation, and oversight to more retail orders. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would allow it to be organized with the appropriate infrastructure (i.e., mechanisms and processes) in advance of any such proposal, and as such, would allow the Exchange to more quickly implement any such differentiated pricing.

Comparison to Existing Rules of Other Equity Exchanges

As noted above, proposed Rule 11.21 is substantially similar to and based on Cboe BZX Rule 11.25.¹⁰ Specifically, proposed Rule 11.21 is nearly identical to paragraphs (a)-(d) of Cboe BZX Rule 11.25, with the only differences being to the name of the RMO Panel, the deletion of a defined term not otherwise used in the rule, and that the Exchange's Chief Executive Officer, rather than Chief Information Officer, designates two officers to serve on the RMO Panel, and otherwise differs from Cboe BZX Rule 11.25 only in that such rule contains a separate paragraph (e) that allows an RMO to designate a Retail Order to be identified as such on Cboe BZX's proprietary data feeds.¹¹ As noted above, proposed Rule 11.21 would not allow an RMO to designate a Retail Order to be identified as such on the Exchange's market data feeds.

The Exchange further notes that proposed Rule 11.21 is also substantially similar to the existing rules of several other equity exchanges.¹² Certain of these exchanges

¹⁰ See supra note 5.

¹¹ Id.

¹² See, e.g., Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("Cboe EDGX") Rule 11.21; Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc. ("Cboe BYX") Rule 11.24; Nasdaq BX, Inc. ("Nasdaq BX") Rule 4780; NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca") Rule 7.44-E.

include these rules as part of a retail attribution program,¹³ retail liquidity program¹⁴ or retail price improvement program.¹⁵ However, unlike those programs, the Exchange does not propose to attribute retail orders in its market data feeds, to adopt any special order handling for Retail Orders or orders intended to provide liquidity to Retail Orders, or to adopt any mechanics for price improvement for Retail Orders. Instead, as described above, the proposed rule change would only enable an RMO to designate that their Retail Orders be identified as such to the Exchange.

b. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act, in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with these principles because it would increase competition among execution venues and enable the Exchange to implement future pricing changes to encourage the submission of additional Retail Orders to the Exchange. The Exchange notes that a significant percentage of the orders of retail investors are executed over-the-counter.¹⁶ The Exchange believes that it is

¹³ See, e.g., Cboe EDGX Rule 11.21.

¹⁴ See, e.g., NYSE Arca Rule 7.44-E.

¹⁵ See, e.g., Nasdaq BX Rule 4780.

¹⁶ Based on data made available through consolidated data feeds (i.e., CTS and UTDF) and OTC data made available by FINRA, during the week of August 31, 2020, the volume reported by retail wholesalers reporting to the FINRA TRF exceeded 25% of overall market volume.

appropriate to put in place the mechanisms and processes to enable the Exchange to subsequently offer any differentiated pricing for Retail Orders as the Exchange believes that such pricing could incentivize market participants to bring more retail order flow to the Exchange, thereby providing the benefits of exchange transparency, regulation, and oversight to more retail orders.

The Exchange notes that the proposed rule change is substantially similar to paragraphs (a)-(d) of Cboe BXZ Rule 11.25 and the existing rules of several other equity exchanges, as described in more detail above.¹⁷ Specifically, proposed Rule 11.21 contains nearly identical definitions, standards and qualification procedures as Cboe BZX Rule 11.25 and the comparable retail order rules of Cboe EDGX, Cboe BYX, Nasdaq BX, and NYSE Arca.¹⁸ However, unlike certain of these exchanges' rules, the proposed rule change does not propose to attribute retail orders in the Exchange's market data feeds, to adopt any special order handling for Retail Orders or orders intended to provide liquidity to Retail Orders, or to adopt any mechanics for price improvement for Retail Orders, as described above.

The Exchange also believes its proposed qualification standards and review process under proposed Rule 11.21 promote just and equitable principles and are not unfairly discriminatory because they are designed to ensure that Members are properly qualified as RMOs and only designate as Retail Orders those orders that meet the definition of Retail Orders under proposed Rule 11.21(a)(1) described above. The qualification process proposed herein by the Exchange is not designed to permit unfair

¹⁷ See supra notes 5 and 12.

¹⁸ Id.

discrimination, but rather ensure that orders that are designated as Retail Orders are, in fact, orders submitted by a retail customer that satisfy the proposed definition of Retail Order. Lastly, the Exchange notes that these qualification and review provisions are nearly identical to those included in the rules of the Cboe BZX, Cboe EDGX, Cboe BYX, Nasdaq BX, and NYSE Arca.¹⁹

The Exchange further believes that distinguishing an RMO's routing services on behalf of another broker-dealer from services provided by an RMO that carries retail customer accounts on a fully disclosed basis in proposed Rule 11.21(b)(1) is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices because it highlights the parties for whom additional procedures are required because they do not maintain relationships with the end customer (i.e., routing brokers) and still requires the RMO to follow such procedures to ensure that such orders qualify as Retail Orders. As proposed, however, an RMO would not be required to follow such procedures, including obtaining annual attestations, to the extent such RMO actually knows the end customer and carries the account of such customer and thus can itself confirm that the orders qualify as Retail Orders. The Exchange believes that this aspect of the proposed rule change will remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system because it will allow RMOs that carry retail customer accounts to designate Retail Orders as such without imposing additional attestation requirements that the Exchange believes are not necessary for such RMOs, as described above.

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange believes its proposed rule change would not impose any burden on

¹⁹ Id.

competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes that the proposed amendment would not burden intramarket competition because the ability to designate Retail Orders to be identified as such to the Exchange would be open to all Members that wish to send Retail Orders to the Exchange. The Exchange believes the proposed rule change would not burden, but rather increase, intermarket competition by permitting RMOs to identify orders as Retail Orders when submitted to the Exchange, which would ultimately enable the Exchange to better compete with other exchanges that offer retail order programs.²⁰ As noted above, at this time the Exchange is not proposing to attribute retail orders in the Exchange's market data feeds, to adopt any special order handling for Retail Orders or orders intended to provide liquidity to Retail Orders, or to adopt any mechanics for price improvement for Retail Orders. Rather, adoption of the proposed rule will enable the Exchange to have the appropriate mechanisms and processes in place to implement differentiated pricing for Retail Orders if and when the Exchange proposes to do so in the future.

5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others
No written comments were either solicited or received.
6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action
Not applicable.
7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

²⁰ See supra notes 5 and 12.

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii)²¹ of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder²² in that it effects a change that: (i) does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) by its terms, does not become operative for 30 days after the date of the filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest.

Furthermore, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii)²³ requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file a proposed rule change under that subsection at least five business days prior to the date of filing, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

The proposed rule change would enable Members to designate certain orders they submit to the Exchange on behalf of retail customers to be identified as Retail Orders to the Exchange. In turn, adoption of the proposed rule will enable the Exchange to have the appropriate mechanisms and processes in place to implement differentiated pricing for Retail Orders if and when the Exchange proposes to do so in the future. The Exchange again notes that the proposed rule change is substantially similar to paragraphs (a)-(d) of Cboe BXZ Rule 11.25 and the existing rules of several other equity exchanges, as described in more detail above.²⁴ Specifically, proposed Rule 11.21 contains nearly identical definitions, standards and qualification procedures as Cboe BZX Rule 11.25 and

²¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

²² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

²³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

²⁴ See supra notes 5 and 12.

the comparable retail order rules of Cboe EDGX, Cboe BYX, Nasdaq BX, and NYSE Arca.²⁵ Therefore, the proposal does not raise any new issues that have not been previously considered by the Commission. Therefore, this rule filing qualifies as a “non-controversial” rule change under Rule 19b-4(f)(6), which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Commission.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

As noted above, proposed Rule 11.21 is substantially similar to and based on Cboe BZX Rule 11.25.²⁶ Specifically, proposed Rule 11.21 is nearly identical to paragraphs (a)-(d) of Cboe BZX Rule 11.25, with the only differences being to the name of the RMO Panel, the deletion of a defined term not otherwise used in the rule, and that the Exchange’s Chief Executive Officer, rather than Chief Information Officer, designates two officers to serve on the RMO Panel, and otherwise differs from Cboe BZX Rule 11.25 only in that such rule contains a separate paragraph (e) that allows an RMO to designate a Retail Order to be identified as such on Cboe BZX’s proprietary data feeds.²⁷ As noted above, proposed Rule 11.21 would not allow an RMO to designate a Retail Order to be identified as such on the Exchange’s market data feeds.

²⁵ Id.

²⁶ See supra note 5.

²⁷ Id.

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the Federal Register.

Exhibit 5 - Text of the proposed rule change.

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-MEMX-2020-13]
[Insert date]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; MEMX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Enable Members to Designate Certain Orders to be Identified as Retail Orders to the Exchange

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on [insert date], MEMX LLC (“MEMX” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed the proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.⁴ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing with the Commission a proposed rule change to adopt new Rule 11.21 to enable members of the Exchange (“Members”) to designate certain orders they submit to the Exchange on behalf of retail customers to be identified as retail orders to the Exchange. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to adopt new Rule 11.21 to enable Members to designate certain orders they submit to the Exchange on behalf of retail customers to be identified as retail orders to the Exchange. Under the proposed rule change, the Exchange would create a new class of market participant for any Member that satisfies the requirements under proposed Rule 11.21 called a Retail Member Organization (“RMO”), which would be eligible to submit certain retail order flow (“Retail Orders”) to the Exchange. Specifically, proposed Rule 11.21 would: (i) define a Retail Order and RMO; (ii) set forth an RMO’s qualification and application requirements and the Exchange’s approval process; (iii) outline procedures for when an RMO fails to abide by the Retail Order requirements; and (iv) outline the procedures under which a Member may appeal the Exchange’s decision to disapprove it or disqualify it as an RMO. The Exchange notes that proposed Rule 11.21 is substantially similar to and based on paragraphs (a)-(d) of Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (“Cboe BZX”) Rule 11.25.⁵

⁵ See Cboe BZX Rule 11.25; see also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 73237 (September 26, 2014), 79 FR 59537 (October 2, 2014) (SR-BATS-2014-043);

Definitions

The Exchange proposes to adopt the following definitions under proposed Rule 11.21(a). First, the term “Retail Member Organization” or “RMO” would be defined as a Member (or a division thereof) that has been approved by the Exchange to submit Retail Orders. Second, the term “Retail Order” would be defined as an agency or riskless principal order that meets the criteria of FINRA Rule 5320.03 that originates from a natural person and is submitted to the Exchange by an RMO, provided that no change is made to the terms of the order with respect to price or side of market and the order does not originate from a trading algorithm or any other computerized methodology.

RMO Qualifications and Approval Process

Under proposed Rule 11.21(b), any Member could qualify as an RMO if it conducts a retail business or routes retail orders on behalf of another broker-dealer. Proposed Rule 11.21(b)(1) makes clear that an RMO that carries retail customer accounts on a fully disclosed basis would be considered to conduct a retail business for purposes of the rule. The qualification standards and approval process under proposed Rule 11.21(b) are designed to ensure that Members are properly qualified as an RMO and only designate as Retail Orders those orders that meet the definition of Retail Orders under proposed Rule 11.21(a)(2) described above. Any Member that wishes to obtain RMO status would be required to submit: (i) an application form; (ii) supporting documentation sufficient to demonstrate the retail nature and characteristics of the applicant’s order

73677 (November 24, 2014), 79 FR 71150 (December 1, 2014) (SR-BATS-2014-058); 76205 (October 21, 2015), 80 FR 65828 (October 27, 2015) (SR-BATS-2015-90).

flow;⁶ and (iii) an attestation, in a form prescribed by the Exchange, that substantially all orders submitted by the Member as a Retail Order will qualify as such under proposed Rule 11.21(b).

An RMO would be required to have written policies and procedures reasonably designed to assure that it will only designate orders as Retail Orders if all requirements of a Retail Order are met. Such written policies and procedures must require the Member to (i) exercise due diligence before entering a Retail Order to assure that entry as a Retail Order is in compliance with the requirements of proposed Rule 11.21, and (ii) monitor whether orders entered as Retail Orders meet the applicable requirements. If the RMO does not itself conduct a retail business but routes Retail Orders on behalf another broker-dealer, the RMO's supervisory procedures must be reasonably designed to assure that the orders it receives from such other broker-dealer that it designates as Retail Orders meet the definition of a Retail Order. Such an RMO must (i) obtain an annual written representation, in a form acceptable to the Exchange, from each other broker-dealer that sends it orders to be designated as Retail Orders that entry of such orders as Retail Orders will be in compliance with the requirements of proposed Rule 11.21, and (ii) monitor whether Retail Order flow routed on behalf of such other broker-dealers continues to meet the applicable requirements.⁷

⁶ For example, a prospective RMO could be required to provide sample marketing literature, website screenshots, other publicly disclosed materials describing the retail nature of their order flow, and such other documentation and information as the Exchange may require to obtain reasonable assurance that the applicant's order flow would meet the requirements of the Retail Order definition.

⁷ The Exchange or another self-regulatory organization on behalf of the Exchange will review an RMO's compliance with these requirements through an exam-based review of the RMO's internal controls.

If the Exchange disapproves a Member's application to be an RMO, the Exchange would provide a written notice to the Member. The disapproved applicant could appeal the disapproval by the Exchange as provided in proposed Rule 11.21(d) and/or reapply for RMO status 90 days after the disapproval notice is issued by the Exchange. An RMO also could voluntarily withdraw from such status at any time by giving written notice to the Exchange.

As described above, under proposed Rule 11.21(b), any Member could qualify as an RMO if it conducts a retail business or routes retail orders on behalf of another broker-dealer, and Proposed Rule 11.21(b)(1) makes clear that an RMO that carries retail customer accounts on a fully disclosed basis would be considered to conduct a retail business for purposes of the rule. The Exchange proposes to distinguish an RMO's routing services on behalf of another broker-dealer from services provided by an RMO that carries retail customer accounts on a fully disclosed basis, as described below. As background with respect to this aspect of the proposed change, the Exchange first would like to describe the terms "introducing broker", "carrying firm" or "carrying broker-dealer", and "fully disclosed," as such terms are commonly used in the securities industry. An "introducing" broker-dealer is "one that has a contractual arrangement with another firm, known as the carrying or clearing firm, under which the carrying firm agrees to perform certain services for the introducing firm. Usually, the introducing firm submits its customer accounts and customer orders to the carrying firm, which executes the orders and carries the account. The carrying firm's duties include the proper disposition of the customer funds and securities after the trade date, the custody of customer securities and funds, and the recordkeeping associated with carrying customer

accounts.”⁸ Further, a “fully disclosed” introducing arrangement is “distinguished from an omnibus clearing arrangement where the clearing firm maintains one account for all the customer transactions of the introducing firm. In an omnibus relationship, the clearing firm does not know the identity of the customers of the introducing firm. In a fully disclosed clearing arrangement, the clearing firm knows the names, addresses, securities positions and other relevant data as to each customer.”⁹

With respect to a broker-dealer that is routing on behalf of another broker-dealer, the Exchange does not believe that the routing broker-dealer has sufficient information to assess whether orders are truly retail in nature, and thus, requires an RMO routing on behalf of other broker-dealers to maintain additional supervisory procedures and obtain annual attestations, as described above, in order to submit Retail Orders to the Exchange. In contrast, however, if a broker-dealer is carrying a customer account on a fully disclosed basis, then such carrying broker-dealer is required to perform certain diligence regarding such account that the Exchange believes is sufficient to assess whether a customer is a retail customer in order to submit orders on behalf of such a customer to the Exchange as a Retail Order. The carrying broker of an account typically handles orders from its retail customers that are “introduced” by an introducing broker. However, as noted above, in contrast to a typical routing relationship on behalf of another broker-dealer, a carrying broker does obtain a significant level of information regarding each customer introduced by the introducing broker. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 31511 (Nov. 24, 1992), 57 FR 56973 (December 2, 1992).

⁹ Id.

state in Rule 11.21(b)(1) that for purposes of Rule 11.21, “conducting a retail business shall include carrying retail customer accounts on a fully disclosed basis.”

Failure of RMO to Abide by Retail Order Requirements

Proposed Rule 11.21(c) addresses an RMO’s failure to abide by Retail Order requirements. If an RMO designates orders submitted to the Exchange as Retail Orders and the Exchange determines, in its sole discretion, that those orders fail to meet any of the requirements of Retail Orders, the Exchange may disqualify a Member from its status as an RMO. When disqualification determinations are made, the Exchange would provide a written disqualification notice to the Member. A disqualified RMO could appeal the disqualification provided in proposed Rule 11.21(d) and/or reapply for RMO status 90 days after the disqualification notice issued by the Exchange.

Appeal of Disapproval or Disqualification

Proposed Rule 11.21(d) provides appeal rights to Members. If a Member disputes the Exchange’s decision to disapprove it as an RMO under proposed Rule 11.21(b) or disqualify it under proposed Rule 11.21(c), such Member may request, within five business days after notice of the decision is issued by the Exchange, that the Retail Member Organization Panel (the “RMO Panel”) review the decision to determine if it was correct. The RMO Panel would consist of the Exchange’s Chief Regulatory Officer (“CRO”), or a designee of the CRO, and two officers of the Exchange designated by the Exchange’s Chief Executive Officer. The RMO Panel would review the facts and render a decision within the time frame prescribed by the Exchange. The RMO Panel could overturn or modify an action taken by the Exchange and all determinations by the RMO Panel would constitute final action by the Exchange on the matter at issue.

Implementation

The Exchange notes that, under the proposed rule change, an order involving any Regulation NMS security traded on the Exchange that meets the definition of Retail Order would be eligible to be designated as such by an RMO. The Exchange also notes that orders designated as Retail Orders would only be designated as such to the Exchange and would not be designated as such on the Exchange's market data feeds or otherwise identifiable as Retail Orders by any market participants or the public. Further, the Exchange notes that orders designated as Retail Orders would be handled in the exact same way under the Exchange's rules as if such orders were not designated as Retail Orders. In other words, the designation of an order as a Retail Order would not in any way affect the priority or other handling procedures applicable to such order under the Exchange's rules.

The purpose of enabling RMOs to designate orders as Retail Orders to the Exchange under the proposed rule change is so the Exchange may identify and track orders designated as such, which the Exchange believes will be useful for it in considering potential pricing modifications to such orders as it continues to evaluate its pricing structure following the recent commencement of its operations as a national securities exchange. The Exchange further believes that the proposed rule change would enable the Exchange to have the appropriate mechanisms and processes in place to implement any differentiated pricing for Retail Orders if and when the Exchange proposes to do so in the future. The Exchange notes that, at some point following the adoption and implementation of proposed Rule 11.21 as described in this proposed rule change, the Exchange may separately propose to amend its fee schedule to adopt a

specific fee code for Retail Orders to be provided on an RMO's execution reports and/or to provide differentiated pricing for Retail Orders, which the Exchange believes would attract additional retail order flow to the Exchange, thereby providing the benefits of exchange transparency, regulation, and oversight to more retail orders. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would allow it to be organized with the appropriate infrastructure (i.e., mechanisms and processes) in advance of any such proposal, and as such, would allow the Exchange to more quickly implement any such differentiated pricing.

Comparison to Existing Rules of Other Equity Exchanges

As noted above, proposed Rule 11.21 is substantially similar to and based on Cboe BZX Rule 11.25.¹⁰ Specifically, proposed Rule 11.21 is nearly identical to paragraphs (a)-(d) of Cboe BZX Rule 11.25, with the only differences being to the name of the RMO Panel, the deletion of a defined term not otherwise used in the rule, and that the Exchange's Chief Executive Officer, rather than Chief Information Officer, designates two officers to serve on the RMO Panel, and otherwise differs from Cboe BZX Rule 11.25 only in that such rule contains a separate paragraph (e) that allows an RMO to designate a Retail Order to be identified as such on Cboe BZX's proprietary data feeds.¹¹ As noted above, proposed Rule 11.21 would not allow an RMO to designate a Retail Order to be identified as such on the Exchange's market data feeds.

The Exchange further notes that proposed Rule 11.21 is also substantially similar

¹⁰ See supra note 5.

¹¹ Id.

to the existing rules of several other equity exchanges.¹² Certain of these exchanges include these rules as part of a retail attribution program,¹³ retail liquidity program¹⁴ or retail price improvement program.¹⁵ However, unlike those programs, the Exchange does not propose to attribute retail orders in its market data feeds, to adopt any special order handling for Retail Orders or orders intended to provide liquidity to Retail Orders, or to adopt any mechanics for price improvement for Retail Orders. Instead, as described above, the proposed rule change would only enable an RMO to designate that their Retail Orders be identified as such to the Exchange.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act, in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with these principles because it would increase competition among execution venues and enable the Exchange to implement future pricing changes to encourage the submission of additional Retail Orders to the Exchange. The Exchange notes that a significant percentage of the orders

¹² See, e.g., Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (“Cboe EDGX”) Rule 11.21; Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc. (“Cboe BYX”) Rule 11.24; Nasdaq BX, Inc. (“Nasdaq BX”) Rule 4780; NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca”) Rule 7.44-E.

¹³ See, e.g., Cboe EDGX Rule 11.21.

¹⁴ See, e.g., NYSE Arca Rule 7.44-E.

¹⁵ See, e.g., Nasdaq BX Rule 4780.

of retail investors are executed over-the-counter.¹⁶ The Exchange believes that it is appropriate to put in place the mechanisms and processes to enable the Exchange to subsequently offer any differentiated pricing for Retail Orders as the Exchange believes that such pricing could incentivize market participants to bring more retail order flow to the Exchange, thereby providing the benefits of exchange transparency, regulation, and oversight to more retail orders.

The Exchange notes that the proposed rule change is substantially similar to paragraphs (a)-(d) of Cboe BXZ Rule 11.25 and the existing rules of several other equity exchanges, as described in more detail above.¹⁷ Specifically, proposed Rule 11.21 contains nearly identical definitions, standards and qualification procedures as Cboe BZX Rule 11.25 and the comparable retail order rules of Cboe EDGX, Cboe BYX, Nasdaq BX, and NYSE Arca.¹⁸ However, unlike certain of these exchanges' rules, the proposed rule change does not propose to attribute retail orders in the Exchange's market data feeds, to adopt any special order handling for Retail Orders or orders intended to provide liquidity to Retail Orders, or to adopt any mechanics for price improvement for Retail Orders, as described above.

The Exchange also believes its proposed qualification standards and review process under proposed Rule 11.21 promote just and equitable principles and are not unfairly discriminatory because they are designed to ensure that Members are properly

¹⁶ Based on data made available through consolidated data feeds (i.e., CTS and UTDF) and OTC data made available by FINRA, during the week of August 31, 2020, the volume reported by retail wholesalers reporting to the FINRA TRF exceeded 25% of overall market volume.

¹⁷ See supra notes 5 and 12.

¹⁸ Id.

qualified as RMOs and only designate as Retail Orders those orders that meet the definition of Retail Orders under proposed Rule 11.21(a)(1) described above. The qualification process proposed herein by the Exchange is not designed to permit unfair discrimination, but rather ensure that orders that are designated as Retail Orders are, in fact, orders submitted by a retail customer that satisfy the proposed definition of Retail Order. Lastly, the Exchange notes that these qualification and review provisions are nearly identical to those included in the rules of the Cboe BZX, Cboe EDGX, Cboe BYX, Nasdaq BX, and NYSE Arca.¹⁹

The Exchange further believes that distinguishing an RMO's routing services on behalf of another broker-dealer from services provided by an RMO that carries retail customer accounts on a fully disclosed basis in proposed Rule 11.21(b)(1) is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices because it highlights the parties for whom additional procedures are required because they do not maintain relationships with the end customer (i.e., routing brokers) and still requires the RMO to follow such procedures to ensure that such orders qualify as Retail Orders. As proposed, however, an RMO would not be required to follow such procedures, including obtaining annual attestations, to the extent such RMO actually knows the end customer and carries the account of such customer and thus can itself confirm that the orders qualify as Retail Orders. The Exchange believes that this aspect of the proposed rule change will remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system because it will allow RMOs that carry retail customer accounts to designate Retail Orders as such without imposing additional attestation requirements that

¹⁹ Id.

the Exchange believes are not necessary for such RMOs, as described above.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange believes its proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes that the proposed amendment would not burden intramarket competition because the ability to designate Retail Orders to be identified as such to the Exchange would be open to all Members that wish to send Retail Orders to the Exchange. The Exchange believes the proposed rule change would not burden, but rather increase, intermarket competition by permitting RMOs to identify orders as Retail Orders when submitted to the Exchange, which would ultimately enable the Exchange to better compete with other exchanges that offer retail order programs.²⁰ As noted above, at this time the Exchange is not proposing to attribute retail orders in the Exchange's market data feeds, to adopt any special order handling for Retail Orders or orders intended to provide liquidity to Retail Orders, or to adopt any mechanics for price improvement for Retail Orders. Rather, adoption of the proposed rule will enable the Exchange to have the appropriate mechanisms and processes in place to implement differentiated pricing for Retail Orders if and when the Exchange proposes to do so in the future.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

²⁰ See supra notes 5 and 12.

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act²¹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)²² thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-MEMX-2020-13 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

²¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MEMX-2020-13. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MEMX-2020-13 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²³

²³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Exhibit 5

Proposed new language is underlined; Proposed deletions are in [brackets].

* * * * *

CHAPTER 11. TRADING RULES

* * * * *

Rule 11.21. Retail Orders**(a) Definitions.**

(1) Retail Member Organization. A “Retail Member Organization” or “RMO” is a Member (or a division thereof) that has been approved by the Exchange under this Rule to submit Retail Orders.

(2) Retail Order. A “Retail Order” is an agency or riskless principal order that meets the criteria of FINRA Rule 5320.03 that originates from a natural person and is submitted to the Exchange by a Retail Member Organization, provided that no change is made to the terms of the order with respect to price or side of market and the order does not originate from a trading algorithm or any other computerized methodology.

(b) Retail Member Organization Qualifications and Application.

(1) To qualify as a Retail Member Organization, a Member must conduct a retail business or route retail orders on behalf of another broker-dealer. For purposes of this Rule, conducting a retail business shall include carrying retail customer accounts on a fully disclosed basis.

(2) To become a Retail Member Organization, a Member must submit:

(A) an application form;

(B) supporting documentation, which may include sample marketing literature, website screenshots, other publicly disclosed materials describing the Member’s retail order flow, and any other documentation and information requested by the Exchange in order to confirm that the applicant’s order flow would meet the requirements of the Retail Order definition; and

(C) an attestation, in a form prescribed by the Exchange, that substantially all orders submitted as Retail Orders will qualify as such under this Rule.

(3) After an applicant submits the application form, supporting documentation, and attestation, the Exchange shall notify the applicant of its decision in writing.

(4) A disapproved applicant may: (A) request an appeal of such disapproval by the Exchange as provided in paragraph (d) below; and/or (B) reapply for Retail Member Organization status 90 days after the disapproval notice is issued by the Exchange.

(5) A Retail Member Organization may voluntarily withdraw from such status at any time by giving written notice to the Exchange.

(6) A Retail Member Organization must have written policies and procedures reasonably designed to assure that it will only designate orders as Retail Orders if all requirements of a Retail Order are met. Such written policies and procedures must require the Member to: (i) exercise due diligence before entering a Retail Order to assure that entry as a Retail Order is in compliance with the requirements of this Rule, and (ii) monitor whether orders entered as Retail Orders meet the applicable requirements. If a Retail Member Organization does not itself conduct a retail business but routes Retail Orders on behalf of another broker-dealer, the Retail Member Organization's supervisory procedures must be reasonably designed to assure that the orders it receives from such other broker-dealer that are designated as Retail Orders meet the definition of a Retail Order. The Retail Member Organization must: (i) obtain an annual written representation, in a form acceptable to the Exchange, from each other broker-dealer that sends the Retail Member Organization orders to be designated as Retail Orders that entry of such orders as Retail Orders will be in compliance with the requirements of this Rule; and (ii) monitor whether Retail Order flow routed on behalf of such other broker-dealers meets the applicable requirements.

(c) Failure of RMO to Abide by Retail Order Requirements.

(1) If a Retail Member Organization designates orders submitted to the Exchange as Retail Orders and the Exchange determines, in its sole discretion, that such orders fail to meet any of the requirements set forth in paragraph (a) of this Rule, the Exchange may disqualify a Member from its status as a Retail Member Organization.

(2) Disqualification Determinations. The Exchange shall determine if and when a Member is disqualified from its status as a Retail Member Organization. When disqualification determinations are made, the Exchange shall provide a written disqualification notice to the Member.

(3) Appeal and/or Reapplication for Retail Member Organization Status. A Retail Member Organization that is disqualified under this paragraph (c) may: (A) appeal such disqualification as provided in paragraph (d) below; and/or (B) reapply for Retail Member Organization status 90 days after the date of the disqualification notice from the Exchange.

(d) Appeal of Disapproval or Disqualification.

(1) If a Member disputes the Exchange's decision to disapprove it under paragraph (b) above or disqualify it under paragraph (c) above, the Member may request, within five business days after notice of the decision is issued by the Exchange, that the Retail Member Organization Panel (the "RMO Panel") review the decision to determine if it was correct.

(2) The RMO Panel shall consist of the Exchange's Chief Regulatory Officer ("CRO"), or a designee of the CRO, and two officers of the Exchange designated by the Chief Executive Officer.

(3) The RMO Panel shall review the facts and render a decision within the time frame prescribed by the Exchange.

(4) The RMO Panel may overturn or modify an action taken by the Exchange under this Rule. A determination by the RMO Panel shall constitute final action by the Exchange.

* * * * *